RTIP ID# (*required*): 20190010

TCWG Consideration Date: February 25, 2020January 26, 2021

Project Description (clearly describe project):

The San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA), in coordination with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and the City of Colton (City), proposes to replace the four-lane Mount Vernon Avenue bridge over Interstate 10 (I-10) with a six-lane structure. The project would address issues related to bicycle and pedestrian access by upgrading the bicycle lane from Class 3 to Class 2, and provide a wider sidewalk for pedestrians. The project would also address access issues by providing up-to-date curb ramps that would be Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant, crossing activators, and tactile sensors. And finally, the project would improve the intersection adjacent to the Caltrans right-of-way (ROW) at East Valley Boulevard. Regional location and project vicinity maps are provided in Figures 1-1 and 1-2, respectively (attached).

Overall, the proposed modifications would improve traffic operations as well as bicycle and pedestrian facilities along Mount Vernon Avenue between East Valley Boulevard and the I-10 eastbound ramps/Mount Vernon Avenue intersection.

Caltrans is the lead agency under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

Type of Project (use Table 1 on instruction sheet): Change to existing regionally significant street										
County: San	Narrative Location/Route & Postmiles: I-10, Milepost 22.7 – 24.3.									
Bernardino	Caltrans Projects – EA# 08-1G800									
Lead Agency: Caltrans/SBCTA										
Contact Perso	Contact Person Phone# Fax# Email									
Keith Cooper		213-312-	1752	N/A		Keith.Cooper@icf.com				
Hot Spot Pollutant of Concern (check one or both) PM2.5 ✓ PM10 ✓										
Federal Action for which Project-Level PM Conformity is Needed (check appropriate box)										
Categorical Exclusion (NEPA) Categorical			or FONSI or ft EIS Final EIS			PS&E or Construction Othe		Other		
Scheduled Da	te of Fed	eral Action	: February 2	2021						
NEPA Assign	ment – Pr	oject Type	(Check approp	oriate box)						
Exempt Section 326 – Categorical Section 327 – Non- Exemption Categorical Exemption										
Current Programming Dates (as appropriate)										
PE/Environmenta			ENG		R	OW	CON			
Start	2	016	202	2020		020	2022			
End	2	021	202	2022		2024		2024		

Project Purpose and Need (Summary): (attach additional sheets as necessary)

The purpose of the proposed project is to provide local circulation improvements in the city of Colton while also making operational and safety improvements to reduce local traffic congestion along Mount Vernon Avenue at I-10.

This project is needed to improve traffic operations as well as bicycle and pedestrian facilities along Mount Vernon Avenue between East Valley Boulevard and the I-10 eastbound ramps/Mount Vernon Avenue intersection. The project would address issues related to bicycle and pedestrian access by upgrading the bicycle lane from Class 3 to Class 2 and providing a wider sidewalk for pedestrians. It would also address access issues by providing up-to-date curb ramps that would be ADA compliant, crossing activators, and tactile sensors. In addition, the project would improve the intersection adjacent to the Caltrans ROW at East Valley Boulevard.

Surrounding Land Use/Traffic Generators (especially effect on diesel traffic)

Project vicinity land uses (identified in Figure 3-2) include a mix of residential, park, and public school uses.

Opening Year: Build and No Build LOS, AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

See Table 1.

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year: Build and No Build LOS, AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

See Table 2.

Opening Year: If facility is an interchange(s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build cross-street AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT

See Table 3.

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year: If facility is an interchange (s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build crossstreet AADT, % and # trucks, truck AADT See Table 4.

Describe potential traffic redistribution effects of congestion relief (impact on other facilities)

Without the project, project vicinity intersection and ramp operations would worsen by the opening year 2024. Under opening-year 2024 no-build conditions, two project vicinity intersections that currently operate at an unacceptable LOS under existing conditions would continue to operate at an unacceptable LOS, and storage capacity would continue to be exceeded on interchange ramps. Under design-year 2045 conditions, seven intersections would operate at an unacceptable LOS, and storage capacity would continue to be exceeded at the aforementioned ramps.

The proposed project would improve existing roadway facilities rather than develop new facilities or provide access to areas that currently lack access. As such, no traffic redistribution effects are anticipated.

Comments/Explanation/Details (attach additional sheets as necessary)

Project construction would require less than 5 years. As such, construction emissions analysis for project-level conformity is not required.

Under 40 CFR 93.123(b)—PM10 and PM2.5 Hot Spots—the following criteria are utilized to determine the potential for the proposed project to qualify as a Project of Air Quality Concern (POAQC):

(i) New highway projects that have a significant number of diesel vehicles, and expanded highway projects that have a significant increase in the number of diesel vehicles.

Project improvements would include replacing a four-lane bridge with a six-lane bridge over I-10, improve the intersection adjacent to the Caltrans right-of-way (ROW) at East Valley Boulevard, and other non-capacity changing elements. Project improvements would not significantly increase the number of diesel vehicles operating within the project study area.

(ii) Projects affecting intersections that are at Level-of-Service D, E, or F with a significant number of diesel vehicles, or those that will change to Level-of-Service D, E, or F because of increased traffic volumes from a significant number of diesel vehicles related to the project.

The project would not significantly increase the number of diesel vehicles operating within the project study area and would not adversely impact nearby intersections that are at LOS D, or worse, and that have a significant number of diesel vehicles.

(iii) New bus and rail terminals and transfer points that have a significant number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location.

The project is not a new or expanded bus or rail terminal, nor would the project adversely impact transfer points that have a significant number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location.

(iv) Expanded bus and rail terminals and transfer points that significantly increase the number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location.

The project is not a new or expanded bus or rail terminal, nor would the project adversely impact transfer points that have a significant number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location.

(v) Projects in or affecting locations, areas, or categories of sites which are identified in the PM10 or PM2.5 applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission, as appropriate, as sites of violation or possible violation.

The proposed project is not in or affecting locations, areas, or categories of sites that are identified in the PM2.5 and PM10 applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission, as appropriate, as sites of violation or possible violation.

For the reasons noted above, the proposed project would not be considered a POAQC.



Figure 1-1 Regional Vicinity Map I-10/Mt. Vernon Avenue Improvement Project



Figure 1-2 Local Vicinity Map I-10/Mt. Vernon Avenue Improvement Project



Figure 3-2 Map of Air Quality Monitoring Stations Located Near the Project I-10/Mt. Vernon Avenue Improvement Project

1:36,000

Proje	ct ion	
Riversite		
Ban Cimpo	USA	Mindael

Attachment 1

		No-Build			Build				
Scenario/		AADT			AADT				
Analysis Year	Location	Truck	Non- Truck	Percent Truck	Truck	Non- Truck	Percent Truck		
	Mt. Vernon n/o Fairway Dr	<u>3,300</u> 1,700	<u>19,800</u> 9,900	<u>14.3%</u> 14.7%	The Build	would lumes or			
	Mt. Vernon n/o Valley Blvd	<u>2,500</u> <u>1,300</u>	<u>15,000</u> 7,500	<u>14.3%</u>	truck perc	entages on	any		
	Mt. Vernon btwn the EB and WB ramps	2,900	<u>17,700</u> 8.800	<u>14.1%</u> 14.6%	Similarly,	the Build A	Iternative		
	Mt. Vernon n/o M St	<u>3,500</u> 1,800	<u>21,200</u> 10,600	<u>14.2%</u>	capacity c	or affect dai	ly VMT on Mount		
	Mt. Vernon s/o M St	<u>3,800</u>	<u>22,900</u> 11,500	14.2%	Vernon Avenue would decrease because of				
	I-10 EB Mt. Vernon off-ramp	<u>1,300</u>	7,000	14.6%	significant improvements in vehicle efficiency, flow, and				
	I-10 EB Mt. Vernon on-ramp	<u>800</u> 400	4,800	14.3%	movemen implemen	it with tation of the	9		
	I-10 WB Mt. Vernon off-ramp	<u>1,000</u> 500	<u>5,900</u> <u>3,000</u>	<u>14.5%</u> 14.3%	proposed	project.			
Opening Year 2024	I-10 WB Mt. Vernon on-ramp	<u>1,000</u> 500	<u>6,000</u> <u>3,000</u>	14.3%					
	Sperry Dr n/o I-10 WB Mt. Vernon off-ramp	<u>1,100</u> 500	<u>6,300</u> <u>3,200</u>	<u>14.9%</u> 13.5%					
	Valley Blvd e/o Mt. Vernon	<u>900</u> 500	<u>5,700</u> 2,800	<u>13.6%</u> <u>15.2%</u>					
	Valley Blvd e/o 9 th St	<u>1,800</u> 900	<u>10,600</u> 5.300	14.5%					
	Valley Blvd e/o La Cadena Dr	<u>2,500</u> <u>1,200</u>	<u>14,700</u> 7,400	<u>14.5%</u> 14.0%					
	Valley Blvd w/o La Cadena Dr	<u>1,800</u> 900	<u>10,500</u> 5,300	<u>14.6%</u> <u>14.5%</u>					
	La Cadena Dr n/o Valley Blvd	<u>1,500</u> 800	<u>9,100</u> 4,500	<u>14.2%</u> 15.1%					
	La Cadena Dr n/o I-10 WB	<u>3,100</u> <u>1,600</u>	<u>18,800</u> 9,400	<u>14.2%</u> 14.5%					
	La Cadena Dr s/o I-10 WB	<u>3,200</u> <u>1,600</u>	<u>19,000</u> 9,500	14.4%					
	I-10 WB La Cadena on-ramp	<u>600</u> 300	<u>3,600</u> <u>1,800</u>	14.3%					
	9 th St n/o Valley Blvd	<u>900</u> 500	<u>5,700</u> 2,800	<u>13.6%</u>					
	9 th St n/o I-10 WB off-ramp	<u>1,700</u> 800	<u>9,900</u> 5,000	<u>14.7%</u> 13.8%					
	9 th St n/o I-10 EB ramps	<u>1,000</u> 500	<u>5,900</u> 3,000	<u>14.5%</u> <u>14.3%</u>					
	I-10 WB 9 th St off-ramp	<u>700</u> 400	<u>4,400</u> 2,200	<u>13.7%</u> <u>15.4%</u>					
	I-10 EB 9 th St off-ramp	<u>500</u> 200	<u>2,900</u> 1,500	<u>14.7%</u> 11.8%					

Summary of Opening-Year (2024) Traffic Conditions

PM Conformity Hot Spot Analysis - Project Summary for Interagency Consultation

		No-Build			Build			
Scenario/		AADT			AADT			
Analysis Year	Location	Truck	Non- Truck	Percent Truck	Truck	Non- Truck	Percent Truck	
	I-10 EB 9 th St on-ramp	<u>500</u> 300	<u>3,000</u> 1,500	<u>14.3%</u> 16.7%				
	Fairway Dr e/o Mt. Vernon	900 <u>1,800</u>	<u>10,600</u> 5,300	14.5%				
Sources: Fehr and Peers 20192020. AADT = annual average daily traffic; vhrs = vehicle hours of delay; VMT = vehicle miles traveled; EB = eastbound; WB = westbound; n/o = north of; s/o = south of; e/o = east of; w/o = west of.								

		No-Build							
Scenario/	Scenario/		\DT		AADT				
Analysis Year	Location	Truck	Non- Truck	Percent Truck	Truck	Non- Truck	Percent Truck		
	Mt. Vernon n/o Fairway Dr	<u>3,900</u> 2,000	<u>23,400</u> 11,700	<u>14.3%</u> 14.6%	The Build Alternative would not change AADT volumes or				
	Mt. Vernon n/o Valley Blvd	<u>3,100</u> 1,500	<u>18,400</u> 9,300	<u>14.4%</u> 13.9%	truck perc	entages on cinity road s	any segment.		
	Mt. Vernon btwn the EB and WB ramps	<u>3,800</u> 1,900	<u>22,500</u> <u>11,300</u>	14.4%	Similarly, the Build Alternative would not increase regional				
	Mt. Vernon n/o M St	<u>4,600</u> 2,300	<u>28,000</u> 14,000	14.1%	capacity o I-10. Trav	or affect dai el times on	ly VMT on Mount		
	Mt. Vernon s/o M St	<u>4,900</u> 2,500	<u>29,500</u> <u>14,700</u>	<u>14.2%</u> 14.5%	Vernon Avenue would decrease because of				
	I-10 EB Mt. Vernon off-ramp	<u>1,500</u> 800	<u>9,200</u> 4,600	<u>14.0%</u>	significant	ents in w, and			
	I-10 EB Mt. Vernon on-ramp	<u>1,000</u>	<u>6,300</u> <u>3,200</u>	<u>13.7%</u> 13.5%	movemen implemen	t with tation of the	9		
	I-10 WB Mt. Vernon off-ramp	<u>1,100</u>	<u>6,900</u> 3,400	<u>13.8%</u>	proposed	project.			
	I-10 WB Mt. Vernon on-ramp	<u>1,200</u>	<u>7,500</u> 3,800	<u>13.8%</u>	-				
	Sperry Dr n/o I-10 WB	<u>1,300</u>	7,500	<u>14.8%</u>					
	Valley Blvd e/o Mt. Vernon	<u>1,100</u>	<u>6,800</u>	<u>13.6%</u> <u>13.9%</u>					
Opening	Valley Blvd e/o 9 th St	2,200	<u>3,400</u> <u>13,300</u> 6,700	<u>15.0%</u> <u>14.2%</u>					
Year 2045	Valley Blvd e/o La Cadena Dr	<u>2,900</u>	<u>17,700</u>	<u>14.1%</u> <u>14.1%</u>					
	Valley Blvd w/o La Cadena Dr	<u>2,200</u>	<u>13,400</u> 6 700	14.1%					
	La Cadena Dr n/o Valley Blvd	<u>1,100</u> <u>1,900</u> 900	<u>11,100</u> 5,600	<u>14.6%</u> 13.8%					
	La Cadena Dr n/o I-10 WB on-ramp	<u>3,900</u> 2,000	<u>23,700</u> 11,800	<u>14.1%</u> <u>14.5%</u>					
	La Cadena Dr s/o I-10 WB on-ramp	<u>4,000</u> 2,000	<u>24,300</u> 12,200	14.1%					
	I-10 WB La Cadena on-ramp	<u>700</u> 400	<u>4,500</u> 2,200	<u>13.5%</u> 15.4%					
	9 th St n/o Valley Blvd	<u>1,100</u> 600	<u>6,700</u> 3,300	<u>14.1%</u> 15.4%					
	9 th St n/o I-10 WB off-ramp	<u>2,000</u> 1,000	<u>11,800</u> 5,900	14.5%					
	9 th St n/o I-10 EB ramps	<u>1,200</u> 600	<u>7,000</u> <u>3,500</u>	14.6%					
	I-10 WB 9th St off-ramp	<u>900</u> 400	<u>5,200</u> 2,700	<u>14.8%</u> 12.9%					
	I-10 EB 9 th St off-ramp	<u>600</u> 300	<u>3,600</u> <u>1,800</u>	14.3%					
	I-10 EB 9 th St on-ramp	600	3,700	<u>14.0%</u>	1				

Summary of Design-Year (2045) Traffic Conditions

PM Conformity Hot Spot Analysis - Project Summary for Interagency Consultation

		No-Build			Build			
Scenario/		AADT			AADT			
Analysis Year	Location	Truck	Non- Truck	Percent Truck	Truck	Non- Truck	Percent Truck	
		300	1,900	13.6%				
	Fairway Dr e/o Mt. Vernon	<u>2,000</u> 1,000	<u>12,300</u> 6,200	<u>14.0%</u> 13.9%				
Sources: Fehr and Peers 20192020. AADT = annual average daily traffic; vhrs = vehicle hours of delay; VMT = vehicle miles traveled; EB = eastbound; WB = westbound; n/o = north of; s/o = south of; e/o = east of; w/o = west of.								